
**JURA COMMUNITY BUSINESS – REQUEST FOR ADMISSION TO THE
SOA:LOCAL (APPENDIX)**

1. Purpose

- 1.1 Jura Community Business are requesting the MAKI ACPG that they consider the admittance of their business unit facility project to the SOA:local. They feel that being associated with the SOA will increase their support and effectiveness, by aligning it with well-established needs. They therefore wish to be considered for admittance to the appendix of the SOA:Local for MAKI.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the project be considered by the MAKI ACPG for inclusion within a Community Led Initiatives appendix to the SOA: Local in recognition of its role as a community project contributing to achieving outcomes of the SOA, provided it has secured a detailed funding strategy.
- 2.2 That the project seeks support from the relevant outcome Lead for the SOA, once it is more developed as per 2.1.
- 2.3 With approval from both the CPG and the Outcome lead, the project is included in Community Led Initiatives appendix to the SOA: Local.

**3. Jura Community Business plan for development of Business Units at the
Petrol filling station:**

- 3.1 This is a plan to sustain the fuel provision at the only filling station on the island of Jura in Craighouse village. The filling station has been manned by volunteers from membership of Jura Community Business since 2014 and they wish to decrease their volunteering commitment as well as making the filling station more available.

- 3.2 It will provide new Business Unit facilities as a means of sustaining the filling station, by providing incentive to one of those leaseholders to fill vehicles as required.
- 3.3 There is an offer under discussion of in-kind support in the form of project management from Ardfin Estates.
- 3.4 The outcomes from this work would include:
- Sustaining the filling station as a vital community and commercial service.
 - Providing workshop space, encouraging business growth, opportunity and development.
 - Support economic development on the island.
 - These outcomes have been identified as priorities by the community in surveys of 2010 which led to the writing of the Jura Community Development Plan 2011-2015.

4. Linking to Outcomes of the Single Outcome Agreement (SOA)

- 4.1 The Jura Community Business project links to several of the outcomes of the SOA, in particular The Economy is Diverse and Thriving (Outcome 1), and We have Infrastructure that supports Growth (Outcome 2).

5. Assessment against Criteria

- 5.1 The project needs to be assessed for inclusion against the criteria in Appendix 1, as agreed at the meeting of MAKI ACPG on 3 March 2015. The project fulfils questions 1 and 2 of the criteria:
- The project is directly related to SOA outcomes.
 - The project demonstrates strong community and business support: the sporting estates on the island prefer to patronise the community business over importing their own fuel. The current services stimulates over 60 hours of volunteering per month.
 - The project meets nearly all of the sub-criteria developed to describe whether it can be said to be underway:
 - 1) There is a business development plan.
 - 2) There is not yet a funding strategy although consultants are to be commissioned to prepare one.
 - 3) There is planning permission approved, (13/02572/PP) and an offer of project management in kind, however there is no funding yet in place or pledged to complete the rest of the project.
 - 4) Personnel include the 5 directors of Jura Community Business, the continued support of Inspiralba and some in-kind project management contribution.

- 5) The project is constituted as a Company Limited by Guarantee, and is permitted to undertake this activity.
- 6) The project meets the current and future needs of the business and domestic community and has positive links with the 7 Estates, one of whose expansion plans are predicted to result in a 15% increase in the population on the island. There are no other workshop projects on the island.

5.2 The project needs to meet a 'yes' under the following questions:

- The project requests support from the MAKI Area Community Planning Group
- The project has a funding strategy and funds in place or pledged,
- The project has not yet sought support from the relevant outcome lead for the SOA.

5.3 It is assumed that the project, given it is in the planning stages, is asking for endorsement to be appended in the Community Led Initiatives appendix of the SOA:Local, once it has met the criterion at 3) in the appendix below, and not in any future reporting framework for the SOA at this stage. This assumption refers to question 6, within the criteria for inclusion set out in Appendix A.

6. SOA Outcomes

6.1 This paper is related to Outcomes, 1, and 2.

Name of Lead Officer: Antonia Baird, Community Development Officer (Mid Argyll Kintyre and the Isles), Argyll and Bute Council.

For further information please contact:

Shirley MacLeod, Area Governance Manager, Argyll and Bute Council
Tel: 01369 707 134

Appendix A:

Checklist detailing process for including local actions into the SOA: Local			
Grass root and other activity not currently included in the SOA Delivery Plan			
1.	Does the project/activity directly relate to one or more of the SOA indicators?	Yes	No
2.	Does the project/activity demonstrate partnership working or community support?	Yes	No
3.	Is the project/activity fully developed / viable / underway / ready for implementation? Criterion to inform the response at no 3 Does the project/activity have: 1) A plan or strategy to describe a fundable project 2) A funding strategy 3) Funds in place or pledged 4) Personnel undertaking activities on its behalf 5) An appropriate governance structure 6) Appropriate links with its external operating environment	Yes,	No
4.	Is the project/activity supported by the relevant Area Community Planning Group following a presentation on its activities at an Area Community Planning Group Meeting?	Yes,	No
5.	Is the project/activity supported by the relevant outcome lead for the SOA following a report to the quarterly SOA Outcome Lead meetings? Criterion to inform the response at no 5 The relevant outcome leads is content for the project/activity to be part of the reporting framework and included in the strategic delivery plans of the SOA Or The relevant outcome lead is content for the project/activity to be included in community led initiatives section of the SOA: Local	Yes	No
If all answers are yes to the above proceed to formal presentation to Area Community Planning Group requesting inclusion in the SOA Local. If one or more answer is no, further development work is required before inclusion request is made.			
Formal Presentation to Area Community Planning Group			
6.	If inclusion agreed, would the community group like endorsement from the Area Community Planning Group but not inclusion in the reporting framework?	Yes, go to 7	No, go to 8
7.	Project/activity included in community led initiatives section of the SOA: Local		
8.	If inclusion agreed, would the project/activity to be part of the reporting framework and included in the strategic delivery plans of the SOA?	Yes, activity included at appropriate review period	No, remain at 7